Secdt. II—13—24,846—20-1-22

Development (1922) Department.

Ms SERIES.

G.O. No. 468

DATED 3.4.22

[Despatch Abstract.]

Fisheries — Building grant to Yellooor Hasinalli Patna Mogaveera Abivardhy Sangha South Kanara Dt — Sanctioned

No 1803-III
2-9-20

Scott. II-26 (a)—31,504—10-2-20

No 1077-III
2-9-20

Received ..... 192 *Registered* 192

Abstract.

Alienation - Land and Revenue (South Kanara) - Udipi Taluk - Kodavur Village - Moger's Co-operative Society - Office and school - Sanctioned.

R E V E N U E DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2096 Mis. dated 27th August 1920. 192

Enclosures.
No. .....

READ the following papers :—

From the Honorary Superintendent, Government Fisheries, No.483/19, dated 2nd May 1919.

From the Board of Revenue Land Revenue, Ref. No. C.331-20-1 dated 9th Feburary 1920.

Memorandum to Board of Revenue (Land Revenue) No.775 D/2-2 dated 24th March 1920.

From the Board of Revenue Land Revenue , Ref., No. C.331-20-5 dated 29th June 1920.

****

O R D E R : --

The Government sanction the alienation of S.Nos. 211/1-I-1 & 211/ I-H in Kodavoor village Udipi taluk, South Kanara district, in favour of "The Kodavur Mogers' Co-operative Society Ltd.," for the purpose of a school and for the purpose of an office for conducting the business of the Co-operative Society and for discussing questions connected with the social and economic welfare of the community.

2. The alienation will be free of charge on account of occupancy right and free of assessment, subject to the following conditions:--

(1) The lands shall be used for the purposes mentioned above and for no other purpose.

(2) The building shall be constructed within one year or such other period as may be specified by the Collector.

(3) The building shall not be used for meetings of a political nature.

(4) The Government may resume the lands wholly or in part in the event of the infringement of any of these conditions or if such resumption is considered necessary in the public interests.

(5) In the event of such resumption or in the event of the acquisition by Government of the whole or any part of the lands, the compensation payable shall not exceed the initial cost or the value at the time of resumption or acquisition, whichever shall be less, of the buildings which have been erected by the Society on such lands otherwise than at the cost of Government.

(True Extract)

E. S. Lloyd,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue).
" Revenue (Special) Department.
With copy of notes.

Forwarded to the Revenue (Special) department

(By Order)

T. S. Kannabiran Iyer
SUPERINTENDENT.

S.C.M./30-8-20.

5/

O.F.—29.

DEVELOPMENT **DEPARTMENT.**

20 8 25 T.

Received ..... 191 *Registered* 10 3 1921

Subject.

ENCLOSURES.
No.
Spare copies

Ref. No. 895 C/20-2.

Station Madras, *Dated the* 8th March 1921.

From (Name) James Hornell, Esq., F.L.S., F.R.A.I.,

(Designation) Director of Fisheries.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Development Department.

Sir,

pp 3-4 ante

II

pp 1-2 ante (P)

Reference: Government Endorsement No. 1803-II/20-2, dated 1st October 1920.

Socio-economics - Mogear fishermen- Kodavur Village -Udipi taluk, Temperence Hall - Alienation of land etc. for -----

In G.O.No. 2096 Mis. Revenue, dated 27th August 1920, the Government sanctioned the alienation of S.Nos. 211/1-1-1 and 211/1-H in Kodavur village in favour of "The Kodavoor Mogears" Co-operative Corporation Society Ltd. The members of this Society have since proposed to organise a Society called "the Yelloor Hadinalk Patna Mogaveera Abivradhy Samaj" for the benefit of all the Mogears living in the Udipi taluk and it has been registered under Act XXI of

1860. As this new society will be responsible for the construction and maintenance of the proposed hall, the members request that the land S.Nos.211/1-1-1 and 211/1-H may be alienated in its favour. I recommend this request.

2. The cost of the proposed hall is as per estimate Rs.7385/-. The members who are to contribute half of this sum state that they have already collected Rs.3240 and expect to collect the balance viz., Rs.452-8-0 before the end of March 1921.

3692

3. As the members have shown very great interest in the matter as is proved by the large sum contributed by them, I request that the Government will be pleased to sanction the half grant at an early date.

4. The cost can be met from the Lump sum in the current year's budget.

5. The plan and estimate together with copy of a letter from one of the members of the Society are here with submitted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J Bonnell 9.3.21

Director of Fisheries.

A.B.N.

Copy of letter from M.R.Ry.Soma Marakala to the Assistant Director of Fisheries (Coast), dated the 7th February 1921.

Reference: Your 793 Coast/20-2, dated 18th December 1920.

I have the honour to submit enclosed a reduced plan and a Revised Estimate as suggested by you for the Udipi Taluk, "Yelloor Hadinaluk Patna Mogaveera Abivradhy Samaj" which I trust will commend itself to you.

We have been able to collect Rs.3240/- and the balance amounting in all, to half the cost of the proposed building according to the new plan and estimate I hope to be able to raise by the end of March 1921.

The Rules have been duly registered under Act XXI of 1860 S. No.4 of 1920-21. They are now in print and a copy of the same will be submitted to you in due course.

Sd.K.M.Soma.

7

DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.

Received in the fair-copying section .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Signed .....

Despatched .....

O.M. No. 1803-II/23 dated 23-4-1921

Ref:-- His letter Ref. No. 895-C/20-2, dated 8-3-21 - Socio-economics - Mogear fishermen, Udipi taluk - school and temperance hall - half grant.

The Director of Fisheries is re-quested to submit a definite proposal of re-appropriation of funds within the grant sanctioned for the Fisheries Department for the year 1921-22 if he wishes to go on with the scheme. It is most doubtful if any remunerative -- scheme ought to be sacrficed for such a purpose.

2 If he can find funds the plans and estimates herewith returned should be got ~~scrutinized~~ referred to Superintending Engineer of the Circle concerned and resubmitted to the Government.

21-4-21

Rem: Despd 13-6-21.

DESPATCHED
23 APR 1921

To the Director of Fisheries. (Postal)

8

C.F. 9-24,264-4-4-21.

DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.

D 1826 II

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* -2- 7- 192/ .

Fd 9 Cu 82

Subject.

ENCLOSURES.

No. .....

.....

Spare copies .....

Ref No. 895 C/21-4

Station Madras. *Dated the* 29th June. 192 1.

From. (Name) James Hornell Esq., F.L.S., F.R.A.I.,

(Designation) Director of Fisheries.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Development Department.

Sir,

5] auste

Reference:- Government Memorandum No. 1803-11/21-3 dated 23rd April 1921.

Socio economic - Mogear fishermen - Udipi taluk School and temperance hall - half grant.

I have the honour to state that no reappropriation of funds within the grant sanctioned for this Department for the curfent year is possible for this purpose. When a similar grant-in-aid was given to the fishermen's temperance hall built at Mangalore a few years ago, the amount was paid out of the amount provided

in

9

Half III

in the Educational budget (vide G.O. No. 363 Educational dated 15th January 1917). I suggest that the half cost in the present case should similarly be paid out of the education grant. If it is not possible to pay full grant-in-aid during the current year, the petitioners may be given such help as is possible for the present with a promise that the balance will be paid as soon as the building is completed. As the petitioners have already collected some money a promise of help will encourage them to undertake the construction work at an early date.

2. Pending the receipt of orders of Government I am not forwarding the plans and estimates to the Superintending Engineer for scrutiny.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R.A.J.

for Director of Fisheries.

29.6.21

Scott. II-26 (a)—28,692—11-2-21.

D 2685-II

G.O. Read 4o

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* ..... 192 .

Abstract

Alienation- Land and Revenue (South Kanara)- Udipi taluk- Kodavur village- The Yelloor Hadinalk Patna Mogaveera Abivradhy Samaj Office and School- Sanctioned.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT -6 OCT 1921

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. Mis. 2206, , dated 4th October 1921.

ENCLOSURES.

No. .....

.....

READ the following papers:—

G.O.No.2096, dated 27th August 1920.

From the Director of Fisheries, No.895 C/20-2, dated 8th March 1921.

O r d e r:-

In partial modification of G.O.No.2096 Revenue dated 27th August 1920 the Government sanction the alienation of S.No.211/1-I-1 & 211/1-H in Kodavur village Udipi taluk, South Kanara District in favour of "the Yelloor Hadinalk Patna mogaveera Abivradhy Samaj" instead of "the Kodavur mogears Co-operative Society Limited".

2. The alienation will be subject to the same conditions as were imposed in the G.O. referred to above.

(By Order of the Governor in Council)

E.W. Legh,

Ag.Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue (Land Revenue)
with para 1 of letter read above.
To the Development Department.

P.T.O.

C.F. 9-24,254-4-4-21.

14 **DEPARTMENT.**

Dev 208 II

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* 31/1/22 192

Subject.



EL

ENCLOSURES.
No. .....
.....
Spare copies .....

Ref. No. 895-C/20-8

Station M A D R A S , *Dated the* 30th JANUARY 1922 .

From (Name) JAMES HORNELL, ESQ., F.L.S., F.R.A.I.,

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF FISHERIES, MADRAS.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

DEVELOPMENT Department.

Sir,

page 10 ante

Reference:- Government Memo No. 1803.II/20-6 dated 15th September 1921.

Socio-Economics - Mogear Fishermen - Udipi taluk - School and temperance hall - half grant.

I have the honour to forward herewith copy of a letter from the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, returning the estimate duly approved.

page 15 ante

2. The plan and estimate are resubmitted.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Hornell 30/1/22
Director of Fisheries.

S.R.30-1-22. P.T.O.

15/

Copy of letter No. 136 M/628-X dated 20/24th January 1922, from the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, Coimbatore, to the Director of Fisheries, Madras.

Reference:- Your No. 895 C/20-6 dated 20-9-1921.

I have the honour to return herewith the estimate approved.

Subject. Construction of a building to be used as a school and a common hall for social ecomonic purposes for the moger fishermen of Kalmadi. Kadavoor village. South Canara District C.No.1747-II. F From the Dof Fisheries. Endt. Ref. No.975 R/19-1, dated 14-5-19.

To under Secretary.

The request for the grant of a piece of land is for Rev. to consider. The memorialists say that they have organised a co-operative society which is a corporate body. There will therefore be no difficulty in alienating land to such a body subject to the usual conditions laid down in B.S.O. No.24 for purposes of a school. This department may perhaps recommend to Revenue that permission be granted to the alienee for utilising the school building which will be put up on the land also as a general meeting hall in connection with co-operation, temperance and other communal work. The provision of such a common meeting hall is the first essential in Sir. F.A. Nicholson's scheme of education for the fisher folk. ----- Please vide paras 13 & 14 of his letter printed at p 8 of G.O 2049 Rev. dated 27-5-18. There need therefore be no hesitation in granting the above permission to the alienee. An extract of this paragraph of the note and a copy of the current file may perhaps be sent to Revenue for necessary action in consultation with the Board of Revenue.

2. These schools being under the exclusive control of the Fisheries Department the question of grant has to be considered and disposed of in this department, necessary funds being obtained either from Home(Edl). from the provision under

"Education",

It seems to me inconceivable that the Collector refused the grant for the reasons alleged. Revenue will doubtless consult the Collector.

S. W.
27.5.19

S. W.
27.5.19

"Education" or from the financial. According to rule 52 (ii) of the rules in the grant-in-aid code applicants for building grant should submit detailed plans, specifications and estimates of the proposed building and according to rule 51 the building should conform to the requirements laid down in appendix L(A) of the code. It may not be desireable or possible to apply the rigid provisions of the code to the fisher schools. Further in para 8 of his letter dated 25-3-18 printed at page 5 of G.O. No.2048 Rev. dated 27-5-18, Sir F.A.Nicholson has laid down that these school buildings should be plain but roomy ones with deep verandahs for industrial work as they are intended to serve as coocmon meeting halls also. Whether we apply the provisions of the code or not it seems necessary to obtain an estimate and plan of the building before we sanction a half grant. Perhaps Sir. F.A. Nicholson may be asked to obtain and submit them. For orders.

C. J. P.
24.5.19.

S.W.
27.5.19.

The amount required is Rs. 2000 apparently. The D.P.I. can sanction such a grant apparently under Art. 50 of the grant in Aid Code. In this respect therefore all that seems necessary is an order similar para 1 of G.O. 363 Home (Edc) 15-3-17.

2. Sir. Fredrich Nicholson's proposals may be supported in this department. If accepted in other departments concerned perhaps the simplest course to adopt will be to issue an order in Home(Edl) with a para 2 regarding further orders to be issued in Revenue department about the grant of the land.

A. Y. G. C.
30.5.19.

19 4/

Endorsement to the Board of Revenue (L.R.) No.1266 (N.O.) D/9-1 dated 9-6-19.

(C.M. 775 From -do- Refer., C .331/20-1 dated 9-2-20)

To U.S.

The applicants appear to have already formed themselves into a co-operative society which is a body corporate under section 18 of the co-operative Societies Act. The Board of Revenue may therefore, it is submitted be requested to submit proposals for alienation with the necessary alienation statements. A draft O.M. is submitted for approval. It may be sent to Revenue (Special) and Home (Edn) for acceptance before issue.

S.J.A. 16.2.20
T.R.T 19.2.20

J.B.B. 20-2-20

Revenue (Special) Dept.,

To U.S.

Submitted the draft O.M. may be accepted in this department and the file passed on to Home (Edn).

T.P. 21.2.20.
C.T.P. 21.2.20
Home (Edn.)

H.R.L. 21.2.20

Home (Edn.)

The draft may be accepted and the case returned to Revenue.

T.K 23.2.20.

The D.P.I. may see the papers.

S.K 26.2.20.

U.O. Reply No.106/1920 dated 28-2-20.

The Grant-in-Aid Code does not apply to schools under public management. The Director of Public Instruction is not empowered to sanction either a recurring or a capital expenditure on the institution or maintenance of schools under the control of the Fisheries Department.

X X X 29.2.20.

have formed themselves into a co-operative society and run a night school in a rented building. They ask for a plot of land to erect a building for purposes of education, temperance lectures etc., and also for a half grant towards the cost of the building. The institution will be under the management of the Co-operative Society and not under the Government. And we are concerned with the grant of the piece of land asked for and a half grant. The O.M. below which is sent round by Revenue merely for acceptance is with reference to the former request. The Revenue Department may be requested to issue it. The question about grant can be considered after the alienation of the land is sanctioned. If Home (Eduen). have no funds to spare we can find the money required possibly by reappropriation, or ask for an allotment from the Financial Department.

H.R.L. 20.3.20

H.R.K. 19.3.20. C.T.P 19.3.20 H.R.L. 20.3.20

Rev. Deptt.

To U.S.

Submitted that the draft below may issue.

S.J.A. 22.3.20. T.R.T 22.3.20

J.B.B.
24-3-20.

O.M. No.775 D/2-2 dated 24-3-20 to the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue C. No.3788 D-From the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue, Ref. C.331-20-5 dated 29-6-20.

To U.S.

Please see the Board's reply to O.M. No. 775/D-2-2 dated 24-3-20 and the D.P.I's endorsement thereon. The D.P.I. does not recommend the alienation if the property is to be used for an office for conducting the business of the Co-operative Society

Rev (Spl) Deptt

Yo ws

In March 1919 the Mogear fishermen of South Kanara district submitted a memorial to Govt praying ~~for~~ for the grant of a piece of land for the Construction of a building to be used as a School and a Common hall for socio-economic purposes and ~~for~~ for a half grant from the Govt towards the Cost of the building The Rev deptt have now issued orders alienating the land required and the question of grant has now to be Considered.

pp 3-4 of Go 2096 27-8-20 (P)

Vide Go flag (P)

2 The Cost of the building is estimated at Rs 4,000 and a half grant in aid of the building would Come to Rs 2,000 Attention is solicited to the notes on pp 4-6 ante from which it will be observed that the Home Deptt have declined to Sanction the grant from the Educn Budget under a misconception that the School would be ~~under the Control of the~~ Fisheries Deptt As pointed out in this deptt note at pp 5-6 of ante the School

page 4 of Go flag (P)

will be under the Control of the Kodavoor Mogeras Cooperative Society and not under the Govt and will apparently be supervised by the Fisheries Educational deptt officers The Home deptt may now be requested to reConsider the question and to Sanction a half grant from the Educn. budget There is no provision in the Fisheries Budget

20.9.20

22.9.20 Secretary

After a careful study of the file, there does not appear sufficient reason to press the Home (Ad'l) to bear the charge. The money is required to build a hall which will be used for the following purposes:- (1) for holding a night school

(2) for holding the office of the cooperative Society

(3) for social and economic discourses

In fact in his recommendation Sir F.A. Nicholson meant this hall to be used both as a School and "a community hall for socio-economic purposes

In the previous notes, the Director of Public Instruction noted that as the School or the Hall is to be under the supervision of

the Fisheries Dept. this grant too should be met from the allotment for that Dept. He quoted the analogy of the schools in the Communal Settlements being debited to the Police

As School forms but one of the objects for which the Hall is meant - the upkeep and its other purposes form the predominant portion, it seems reasonable that this grant should be met from the allotment under Fisheries if necessary by re-appropriation from other sources if no funds are available, we can take up the work for the next year after ascertaining what money was gathered by the members of the coop. Society and what progress they made in the construction of the Hall till now

I have searched for precedents. (3) G.O. No 606 of 6.3.19 clearly shows that even the cost of a training school and of four day and four night schools was ordered to be met from the Fisheries grant it was mentioned in the note that so long as the schools are under the control of the Fisheries Dept the expenditure incurred on them shd

Endt. to the Director of fisheries; No 18032 dt 1-10-21

From the Director of fisheries No 895 dt 8-3-21

To Dy. Collr.

Para 19 Director's letter in pp 5-6 CF:

The proposal to transfer the land from the Kadaiyur Mogers Cooperative Society Ltd to the Yellaur Hadinalk Patna Mogaveera Abivriddhi Samaj is made probably for financial reasons. The former was presumably unable to raise the required funds which the latter has apparently succeeded. The proposal however means redoing the whole work again by the New deptt. An extract of the Director's letter and of this paragraph may be sent to that deptt

2 Paras 2, 3, 4 and 5 of Director's letter in p. 6 CF:

para 2 page 8 ante

page 11 ante

The Cost of the building a hall was originally estimated at Rs 4000 We have practically agreed to pay half of it. But now the Cost is estimated at Rs 7385 but the estimates have not been scrutinised by the P.W.D whether we shall pay Rs 2000 or half of the total Cost Can be Considered after they are got Checked by the Supg. Engineer

para 2 on page 6 CF at Rs 7385

Sir. F. Nicholson puts it is a meeting place for fisherfolk to discuss matters relating to Cooperation ~~education~~ temperance and other Communal work. The provision of such a Common meeting hall is Considered by Sir. F. Nicholson to be the first essential in his scheme of education and development of fisher-folk – Vide paras 13-14 on page 8 of Go No 2049 dt 27-5-18 & Go No 363 Home (Edn) dt 15-3-17 the Govt. authorized the D.P.I to sanction a grant towards the Cost of a site and the erection of a temperance hall thereon

(W)

(III)

But in the present Case it seems a mistake to Call it a temperance hall as the Director does and to describe it so, as is done in the plan The building is primarily an elementary School building of the design recommended by Sir. F. Nicholson in para 8 of his letter dt 25-3-18 on page 5 of Go No 2048 dt 27-5-18 It is proposed to be utilized as a Common-meeting place for purposes of socio-economics such as Cooperation and temperan

(V)

AHE 6-4-21

CH 8.4.21

GO 15

Coll may DF for a copy of
Govt of Madras letter
GO on the New Scheme

It is most doubtful if any Government
Scheme can be carried out
c. Govt

Sd
21.4.21

Issue o.m. below

Sd
21/4/21

(Issued as O.M. No. 1803 II/20-3 dated 23-4-21
From the D.F. Ref No. 895 C/20-4 dated 29-6-21

To Dy Colls.

NW

Sd 8-9 CF

The D.F. reports that it is not possible to meet the expenditure from the Fisheries Budget in the current year and considers that the amount should be met from the Educational head. It has already been decided not to press the Educational deptt. to bear this charge and also that it should be met from Fisheries head if possible by reappropriation in the current year or by inclusion in the budget for next year.

Dey's note p 11 ante

Submitted therefore that the DF may be informed that the charge

46 51

Should be met from Fisheries head and that as no reappropriation is possible in the current year he should include provision for the same in the budget for next year

A draft order is submitted for approval

H
7.7.21

We have first to decide whether we are prepared to pay half the cost of a building which these fishermen propose to put up for purposes of education, Co-operation temperance and other socio-economic work. The object of the grant is more for the elevation of the fisherfolk as a class than for the development of the fishing industry and is therefore more properly debitable to the Comm.r of Labour but we have to remember that by Consent of both the depts. of the Labour Dept does not touch the fisherfolk of the West Coast. If Govt decide that there is a legitimate purpose for which a grant may be made we can ask the D.F. to provide funds in his next budget. (Pages 8-13 g

fo 301 (N)
C.F.U

(the notes above may be read)

11.7.21

I agree with the last note and am personally doubtful whether if this is a legitimate charge on Govt. funds at all. I shall be obliged if Law (Labour) will inform me whether the Commr. of Labour has asked for sanction of any for construction of building for similar purposes in connection with his work among the depressed classes. I have never thought that there was any case for treating the West Coast fishermen more favourably in this respect than the classes with whom the L.C. ordinarily deals.

13.7

Law (Labour) u.o.

Law (Lab.) Dept

REGISTRAR GENERAL & GOVT. 15 JUL 1921

Dev no 1803 II/20-4
15-7-1921

Lab 2053-A
15.7.21

C No. 25-26 A

33 Law Dept, Dept

A.S. Please see notes on pp 16–17 ante.

~~The Comm. of Labour does not appear to have asked for any sanction of the kind referred to by the Development Secretary~~

2. Attention is invited to Go. No. 1895, Rev Dy the 12-8-1919 in which the Govt sanctioned the proposal of the Board of Revenue to establish a Coolies' Canteen at the Madras Salt Depot; to be conducted by the M.M. Co. The Govt sanctioned for the purpose an initial grant of Rs. 200, a recurring grant of Rs. 175 p.m. and Rs. 13 950 to provide the necessary buildings and equipments. Taking this as an analogy there seems to be no objection to sanctioning the proposal in the present file.

(2) (W)

Vide Press communique annexed to this Co.

GP. 30.7.21

Recently the Comm. of Labour came up to Govt with another (somewhat similar) proposal for the establishment of a workmens club at Parthasarathi Kuppam for the benefit of the fishermen living in that locality. Here also a building grant has been proposed The Hon

has approved the proposal and the case has gone to PWD for scrutiny of plans & estimates.

3. With these remarks the file may be returned to Development

20 Aug

RVK
2/8

~~[illegible]~~

Has Finance Dept's concurrence in the budget been obtained?

4.8.21

F. W/O Finance Dept.
(Written portion ante may be read)

Submitted that the Department need not object to a half grant for the building (which is to be used for School and also for Socio-economic purposes such as Co-operation and Temperance) and also to the grant being provided for in the Fisheries budget for next year.

7.8.21

A draft letter is submitted

2 Vide 1.9.21

Law (Fl) G.O. 2525A-1 / 2.8.21

S.

SECRETARY TO GOVT. DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
3 AUG 1921
II

D. 2087-II / 3.8.21

SECRETARY TO GOVT. DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
30 AUG 1921
II

D. No. 2087-II/21

4.8.21

Read 5/8/21

Fin. 5180 B W

½ 7385 = 3692-8-0

(Vide Hs 5-6 cf & p. 12 ante)

X. As there will be cost the concurrence of the Fisheries Dept. dealt to that head seems correct with reference to Ms: 1006 etc.

By Dept. Secretary to Govt.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
5 AUG 1921
GOVT. OF MADRAS

Fin. 5180 B-1 / 17.8.21

19.8.21

Draft

D. 2274-II / 20.8.21

Circulated 29/21

2 Vide 5.9.21

1.9.21

3

Sanctioned. A formal relinquishment should be obtained from appellants of the 1/8 & 1/4 cents kept the land ~~shown~~ hatched originally made on the new one

Rush
4/11/21.

D Draftsman

Dft (6)
Ren no S 2038/21-1

6-10-1921 (S) Issued as G.O. No 2206 Ren dt 4-10-1921



D 2712 II (S)
8.10.21

To Secy

This file was given over by the dep with Agl. Development department pointing out that the draft as ~~drawn~~ & M Cy does not embody Secy's direction above that a formal relinquishment shd be obtained - The omission was due to the Clerk's taking upon himself to issue the draft original putting without noticing Secy's note I have obtained the explanation & shall now write it separately. If it now proposed to issue a fresh G.O in substitution for the one already issued This is the usual practice in such cases

Rem
Rush
17/11/21

S
15/11/21

88 [23]

Rev Dept

To Under Secy

The G.O. has been issued. pp 7-8

The file may, as is submitted, be returned to Development w.c.

2205
4-10-21

Ks
19.10.21

19.10.21
Development

20 OCT 2021

Dy(C)

Rev W05 2038

19-10-21

~~D 1115~~
D. 2854
20-10-21

Endt. to the Director of Fisheries : No 28402/21-I dt 21-10-21 Page 13 C.F

To Dy. Collr.

Submitted the Director's reply to the O.M. on page 10 C.F. may be awaited.

Coll
22-10-21

AHA
21-10-21

4/ FISHERY BUREAU, 26

D.O. 895-C/20-9 Madras, 13th February 1922.

Dear Mr. Paul,

With reference to the proposal to cut out the provision made in ~~my~~ our budget estimate for a half grant for the construction of a school and temperance hall for Mogear fishermen of the Udipi taluk, I am directed to invite your attention to the Government Memorandum No. 1803-II/20-6 dated 15th September 1921, practically promising the grant. The decision of Government was I understand communicated to the Mogear fishermen on 26th September 1921.

Yours sincerely,

Karunakaramenon

Personal Assistant to the Director of Fisheries.

13-2-22

To

C.J. Paul, Esq., B.A.,
Assistant Secretary to
Government, Development
Department, Madras.

S.R. 13-2-22.

Dev. Dept

Yo A.S

Vide linked file disliked

The Finance deptt have from which custom ~~refused~~ the Sum. For orders whether the draft previously approved may issue

Ame 20/2/22

S/Cit 20.2.22

Better wait until the Budget is voted. 21.2.22

C 2

Y. A.S

The Leg. Council has voted the provision asked for by us. The draft order may issue with the omission of para 2

AME
30.3.22

S/ CH
1.4.22